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#### Abstract

In this paper, a block representation for products of hyperbolic Householder transform, which is rich in matrix-matrix multiplications, is presented. Not only the representation is derived by a rather straightforward way, but it also extends the previous results $[1,2]$ to the complex domain.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Householder transform [3] is very useful in matrix computations and signal processing [4]. In order to increase the performance of the Householder transform for $Q R$ factorization on vector supercomputers like CRAY series, Bischof and Van Loan [5] presented the first block Householder transform in terms of $W Y$ representation, which is rich in matrix-matrix multiplications, i.e., BLAS 3 operation [6]. Later, Schreiber and Van Loan [1] proposed a compact $W Y$ representation. Puglisi [2] presented an improved algorithm for involving more BLAS 3 operations based on the Woodbury-Morrison formula. We refer the reader to $[7,8]$ for numerical behaviors of the compact representation.

In this paper, a block representation for products of hyperbolic Householder transform, which is rich in matrix-matrix multiplications, is presented. Not only the representation is derived by a rather straightforward way instead of using the Woodbury-Morrison formula, but it also extends the previous results $[1,2]$ to the complex domain.

[^0]In Section 2, we first introduce the form for the complex Householder transform by Chung and Yan [9], then propose an alternative form and this form will be used to derive the block representation for the hyperbolic Householder transform.

## 2. THE COMPLEX HOUSEHOLDER TRANSFORM

In [10], the complex Hermitian transform has been developed. Recently, Venkaial, Krishna, and Paulraj [11] also extended the real Householder transform [3] to the complex domain $C^{n}$. They first guessed the transform $H$ being $H=I-\left(1+\left(\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{z} / \mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{a}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{z z}^{*} / \mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right)$, where $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in C^{n}$ and $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}$, then it was verified that $H \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}$ and $H$ is unitary. Later, Xia and Suter [12] proved the necessary part of the Householder transform [11]. If $\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}$, they first guessed that $H=I-(1+y)\left(\mathbf{z z}^{*} / \mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right)$, where $y$ is a complex number, then it was shown that $y=-\left(\mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{b} / \mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{a}\right)$.

In the work of Chung and Yan [9], a complex Householder transform, $H=I-\left(\mathbf{z z}^{*} / \mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{a}\right)$, is given. This transform still satisfies the requirements $H \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}$ and $H$ is unitary. Specifically, the transform is shown by a straightforward derivation although the two forms in [11,12] can be simplified to $I-\left(\mathbf{z z}^{*} / \mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{a}\right)$. Let $\Phi$ be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries +1 and -1 . Suppose it satisfies $\mathbf{a}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{b}$ and $\Phi \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in C^{n}$. In the hyperbolic Householder transform [13,14], we want to find a hypernormal matrix $H$ such that $H \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}$ and $H^{*} \Phi H=\Phi$. According to the derivation in [9], we obtain

$$
H=\hat{H}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\Phi-\frac{\mathbf{z z}^{*}}{\mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{a}}, \quad \text { where } \mathbf{z}=\Phi \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b} .
$$

Note that the above hyperbolic Householder transform is equal to the complex Householder transform when $\Phi=I$.

For deriving the block representation of the hyperbolic Householder transform, we use an alternative form, $H=\Phi \hat{H}(\mathbf{a}, \Phi \mathbf{b})=I-\Phi \mathbf{w} t \mathbf{w}^{*}$, for hyperbolic Householder transform, where $\mathbf{w}=\Phi \mathbf{a}-\Phi \mathbf{b}$ and $t=\left(1 / \mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}\right)$. This alternative form also satisfies $H \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}$ and $H^{*} \Phi H=\Phi$ (see the Appendix).

## 3. THE BLOCK HYPERBOLIC HOUSEHOLDER TRANSFORM

As what follows, some notations follow those used in [4]. Suppose $Q_{m}=H_{1} H_{2} \ldots H_{m}$ is a product of these $m(<n)$ alternative $n \times n$ hyperbolic Householder matrices as described in Section 2. Let $Q_{m}=I-\Phi Y_{m} T_{m} Y_{m}^{*}$ and $H_{m+1}=I-\Phi \mathbf{y}_{m+1} t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}$, where $Y_{m}$ is a $n \times m$ matrix, $T_{m}$ is a $m \times m$ matrix, $\mathbf{y}_{m+1}=\Phi \mathbf{a}_{m+1}-\Phi \mathbf{b}_{m+1}\left(\mathbf{a}_{m+1}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}_{m+1}=\mathbf{b}_{m+1}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{b}_{m+1}\right.$ and $\mathbf{a}_{m+1} \neq \mathbf{b}_{m+1}$ ), and $t_{m+1}=y_{m+1}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{m+1}$. The derivation to the block representation of $Q_{m} H_{m+1}$ is shown as follows.

Let $Q_{m+1}=Q_{m} H_{m+1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m+1}=\left(I-\Phi Y_{m} T_{m} Y_{m}^{*}\right)\left(I-\Phi \mathbf{y}_{m+1} t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}\right)=I-\Phi E, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E=Y_{m} T_{m} Y_{m}^{*}+\mathbf{y}_{m+1} t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}-Y_{m} T_{m} Y_{m}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{y}_{m+1} t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}$. Since the leftmost side of each term of $E$ is $Y_{m}$ or $\mathbf{y}_{m+1}$; the rightmost side of each term of $E$ is $Y_{m}^{*}$ or $\mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}$, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=Y_{m+1} T_{m+1} Y_{m+1}^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Y_{m+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{m} & \mathbf{y}_{m+1}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad T_{m+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{m+1} T_{m+1} Y_{m+1}^{*} & =Y_{m} A Y_{m}^{*}+Y_{m} B \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}+\mathbf{y}_{m+1} C Y_{m}^{*}+\mathbf{y}_{m+1} D \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*} \\
& =Y_{m} T_{m} Y_{m}^{*}+\mathbf{y}_{m+1} t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}-Y_{m} T_{m} Y_{m}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{y}_{m+1} t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=T_{m}, B=-T_{m} Y_{m}^{*} \Phi t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}, C=0$, and $D=t_{m+1}^{-1}$. It follows that

$$
T_{m+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{m} & -T_{m} Y_{m}^{*} \Phi t_{m+1}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{m+1}  \tag{3}\\
0 & t_{m+1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

By (1)-(3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m+1}=I-\Phi Y_{m+1} T_{m+1} Y_{m+1}^{*} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) extends the previous result [1] to the complex domain.
By induction, we have $Q_{k}=I-\Phi Y_{k} T_{k} Y_{k}^{*}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{k} & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{y}_{1} & \mathbf{y}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
T_{k} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{k-1} & -T_{k-1} Y_{k-1}^{*} \Phi t_{k}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{k} \\
0 & t_{k}^{-1}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $T_{1}=t_{1}^{-1}$. By (3), we also have

$$
T_{m+1}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{m}^{-1} & Y_{m}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{y}_{m+1} \\
0 & t_{m+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $S_{k}=T_{k}^{-1}$ for $k=1,2 \ldots$, then it follows that $Q_{k}=I-\Phi Y_{k} S_{k}^{-1} Y_{k}^{*}$, where

$$
S_{k}=T_{k}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S_{k-1} & Y_{k-1}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{y}_{k}  \tag{5}\\
0 & t_{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { with } S_{1}=t_{1}
$$

Now we consider $s_{k, i j}$, the $i j$ entry of $S_{k}$, by (5), it is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{k, i j}=s_{j, i j}=\mathbf{y}_{i}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{y}_{j}, & 1<i<j \leq k, \\
s_{k, i j}=s_{i, i j}=0, & 1<j<i \leq k, \\
s_{k, i i}=s_{i, i i}=t_{i} . &
\end{array}
$$

That is, we have

$$
S_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)+A_{k}
$$

where $A_{k}=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ and $a_{i j}=\mathbf{y}_{i}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{y}_{j}$ for $1<i<j \leq k ; a_{i j}=0$ otherwise. The above block representation, $Q_{k}=I-\Phi Y_{k} S_{k}^{-1} Y_{k}^{*}$, is the same as the one [2] when $\Phi=I$. On the other hand, our block representation also extends the previous result [2] to the complex domain.

## APPENDIX

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{w} & =(\Phi(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}))^{*} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{*}(\Phi(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}))=\mathbf{a}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{a}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{w}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{w} & =(\Phi(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}))^{*} \Phi(\Phi(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}))=(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b})^{*} \Phi(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) \\
& =\mathbf{a}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{a}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{a}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{b}=0
$$

it yields to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{H} & =\left(I-\Phi \frac{\mathbf{w w}^{*}}{\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}}\right)^{*} \Phi\left(I-\Phi \frac{\mathbf{w w}^{*}}{\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}}\right)=\Phi-\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{w}}+\frac{1}{\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}}-\frac{\mathbf{w}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{w}}{\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}\right)\left(\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{w}\right)}\right) \mathbf{w w}^{*} \\
& =\Phi-\frac{\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}^{*} \Phi \mathbf{w}}{\left(\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}\right)\left(\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{w}\right)} \mathbf{w w}^{*}=\Phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $\mathbf{H a}=\mathbf{b}$ can be verified as follows:

$$
\mathrm{Ha}=\left(I-\Phi \frac{\mathbf{w w}^{*}}{\mathbf{w}^{*} \mathbf{a}}\right) \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}-\Phi \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{a}-(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b})=\mathbf{b} .
$$
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