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#### Abstract

Zusammenfassung A Fast Algorithm for Solving Special Tridiagonal Systems. In this paper, a fast algorithm for solving the special tridiagonal system is presented. This special tridiagonal system is a symmetric diagonally dominant and Toeplitz system of linear equations. The error analysis is also given. Our algorithm is quite competitive with the Gaussian elimination, cyclic reduction, special $L U$ factorization, reversed triangular factorization, and Toeplitz factorization methods. In addition, our result can be applied to solve the near-Toeplitz tridiagonal system. Some examples demonstrate the good efficiency and stability of our algorithm.
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Ein schneller Algorithmus zur Lösung spezieller tridiagonaler Systeme. In dieser Arbeit wird ein schneller Algorithmus zur Lösung symmetrischer, diagonaldominanter tridiagonaler Töpflitz-Systeme vorgestellt. Auch eine Fehleranalyse liegt vor. Der Algorithmus ist den folgenden Verfahren mindestens gleichwertig: Gauss-Elimination, zyklische Reduktion, spezielle $L U$-Faktorisierung, umgekehrte Faktorisierung, Töplitz-Faktorisierung. Außerdem kann unser Vorgehen zur Lösung in tridiagonalen fast-TöplitzSystemen verwendet werden. Einige Beispiele zeigen die Effizienz und Stabilität unseres Algorithmus.

## 1. Introduction

Consider an $n \times n$ system of linear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\beta & \gamma & & & & \gamma \\
\gamma & \beta & \gamma & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & & \gamma & \beta & \gamma \\
\gamma & & & & \gamma & \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $|\beta|>2|\gamma|$. That is, the matrix $A$ is strictly diagonally dominant. Special tridiagonal systems of equations having symmetric circulant coefficient matrices
appear in many applications ([8], [9], [11], [14], [13]). Many methods have been proposed for solving such systems. These methods are Gaussian elimination [4], cyclic reduction [9], special $L U$ factorization ([14], [10]), reversed triangular factorization ([5], [6], [7]), and Toeplitz factorization with Sherman-Morrison formula ([8], [12], [3]). The interested readers are suggested to consult the survey paper by Boisvert [2].

In this paper, a fast algorithm for solving the special tridiagonal system is presented. Our algorithm consists of three phases. The first phase is a Toeplitz factorization of a slightly perturbed system, which is similar to the method of Fischer et al. [8]. The second phase uses a forward and backward substitution procedure to solve the perturbed problem. In the third phase the solution to the original problem is recovered from the solution to the perturbed problem; this is called the update procedure. The major contributions of our algorithm are twofold: (1) based on the diagonally dominant property, a new but simple update procedure is proposed and (2) a detailed error analysis is given. Our efficient and stable algorithm is quite competitive with previous methods [2]. In addition, our result can be applied to solve near-Toeplitz tridiagonal systems. It also can be applied to solve the quadratic B-spline curve fitting problem [11] and a parabolic PDE [13].

We begin by presenting our algorithm for solving the symmetric Toeplitz tridiagonal system. We then give an extension to the symmetric circulant tridiagonal case.

## 2. Symmetric Toeplitz Tridiagonal Systems

Throughout this paper, matrices are represented by uppercase letters, vectors by bold lowercase letters, and scalars by plain lowercase letters. The superscripts $T$ correspond to the transpose operation.

We first consider to solve the symmetric Toeplitz tridiagonal system $B \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\beta & \gamma & & & & \\
\gamma & \beta & \gamma & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & & \gamma & \beta & \gamma \\
& & & & \gamma & \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $|\beta|>2|\gamma|$. Let $d=\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(|d|>2)$ and

$$
B^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a & 1 & & & &  \tag{2}\\
1 & d & 1 & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & & 1 & d & 1 \\
& & & & 1 & d
\end{array}\right]=L U
$$

where

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
-b & 1 & & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & & & \\
& & & -b & 1 & \\
& & & & -b & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \quad U=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a & 1 & & & & \\
& a & 1 & & & \\
& & \cdot & . & & \\
& & & & a & 1 \\
& & & & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

which implies that $a-b=d$ and $-a b=1$. This, in turn implies that $a=$ $\left(d \pm \sqrt{d^{2}-4}\right) / 2$. Since we wish the matrix $U$ to be diagonally dominant, we will select the sign so that the absolute value of $a$ is greater than 1 . That is, when $d>2$, we chose $a=\left(d+\sqrt{d^{2}-4}\right) / 2(>d / 2>1)$, from which

$$
b=a-d=\left(-d+\sqrt{d^{2}-4}\right) / 2
$$

When $d<-2$, we chose $a=\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-4}\right) / 2(<d / 2<-1)$, then

$$
b=a-d=\left(-d-\sqrt{d^{2}-4}\right) / 2
$$

Since $|a b|=1$ and $|a|>1$, we have that $|b|<1$, that is, the matrix $L$ is diagonally dominant. The computation of $a$ and $b$ provides the Toeplitz factorization of the matrix $B^{\prime}$, which can be done in $O(1)$ time.

By (2), $d=\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$, and $a-b=d$, it is clear that

$$
B=\gamma B^{\prime}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\gamma b  \tag{3}\\
\end{array}\right]
$$

To solve $B \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, we first solve $B^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbf{b}\left(=\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)$ using a forward and backward substitution procedure (second phase in our algorithm). It is not hard to verify that the number of floating-point operations required in this procedure is about $5 n$.

By (3) and $\mathbf{b}=\gamma \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B \mathbf{x}^{\prime} & =\gamma B^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\gamma b x_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{1} \\
& =\mathbf{b}-\gamma b x_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{t}$, Note that (4) can be derived from Sherman-Morrison formula [1] and the equality $B^{\prime-1} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\gamma\left(1-b \mathbf{e}_{1}^{t} B^{\prime-1} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) B^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{1}$ which can be derived with some effort. Therefore, the solution $\mathbf{x}$ to be determined is $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}+\gamma b x_{1}^{\prime} B^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{1}$. If we can find a vector $\mathbf{p}$ such that $B \mathbf{p}$ is equal to a multiple of $\mathbf{e}_{1}$, then we can solve $B \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ approximately by adding a multiple of $\mathbf{p}$ to $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$.

To find $\mathbf{p}$, we first solve the recurrence relation: $\gamma p_{i-1}+\beta p_{i}+\gamma p_{i+1}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq$ $n-1$. From $a-b=d,-a b=1$, and $d=\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$, it follows $b^{2}+d b+1=0$ and $\gamma b^{2}+$ $\beta b+\gamma=0$. Hence, $b$ is a zero of the characteristic polynomial of the above recurrence relation. Naturally, if we try $\mathbf{p}=(\underbrace{b, b^{2}, \ldots, b^{t}}_{i}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-t})^{T}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\gamma} B \mathbf{p} & =(\underbrace{d b+b^{2}, b+d b^{2}+b^{3}, \ldots, b^{t-1}+d b^{t}}_{t}, \underbrace{b^{t}, 0, \ldots, 0}_{n-t})^{T} \\
& =(\underbrace{d b+b^{2}, 0, \ldots, 0, b^{t-1}+d b^{t}}_{t}, \underbrace{b^{t}, 0, \ldots, 0}_{n^{t}, t})^{T} \\
& =-\mathbf{e}_{1}-b^{t+1} \mathbf{e}_{t}+b^{t} \mathbf{e}_{t+1} \\
& =-\mathbf{e}_{1}+b^{t}\left(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}-b \mathbf{e}_{t}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-b x_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by (6), (4), and (5), we obtain $B \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}=-b^{t+1} \gamma x_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}-b \mathbf{e}_{t}\right)$. The update procedure in (6) is the third phase in our algorithm. It is not hard to verify that the number of floating-point operations required in the update procedure (6) is about $2 t$. Taking sup-norm on both sides, because of $|b|<1$, it gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|=\left|b^{t+1} \gamma x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq \mid b^{t+1} \gamma\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|$, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 1. If $B^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, then $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{|d|-2}\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|$.
Proof: Because of $B^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, suppose $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|=\left|x_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ for some $i, 2 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$
d x_{i}^{\prime}=b_{i}^{\prime}-x_{i-1}^{\prime}-x_{i+1}^{\prime} .
$$

Using the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| & =\left|d x_{i}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left|b_{i}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{i-1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{i+1}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|+2\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \\
\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{|d|-2}\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The cases $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|=\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|=\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|$ are considered next. Suppose $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|=\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right|$, we have

$$
a x_{1}^{\prime}=b_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}
$$

Using the triangle inequality again, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| & =\left|a x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left|b_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{2}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \\
\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{|a|-1}\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, suppose $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|=\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|$, we have

$$
d x_{n}^{\prime}=b_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n-1}^{\prime} .
$$

Similarly, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| & =\left|d x_{n}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left|b_{n}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{n-1}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \\
\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{|d|-1}\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \leq \max \left(\frac{1}{|d|-2}, \frac{1}{|a|-1}, \frac{1}{|d|-1}\right)\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|$. Since $a-b=d$ and $|b|<1$, we have $|d| \leq|a|+|b|<|a|+1$, and hence $0<|d|-2<|a|-1$, because of $|d|>2$. Hence, we obtain $\max \left(\frac{1}{|d|-2}, \frac{1}{|a|-1}, \frac{1}{|d|-1}\right)=\frac{1}{|d|-2}$. Therefore, we have $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{|d|-2}\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|$.

By (7), Lemma 1, and $\mathbf{b}=\gamma \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\| & \leq\left|b^{t+1} \gamma\right|\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq\left|b^{t+1} \gamma\right| \frac{\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|}{|d|-2} \\
& =\frac{\left|b^{t+1}\right| \mid \mathbf{b} \|}{|d|-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Immediately, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2. $\|B \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\| \leq \frac{\left|b^{t+1}\right|\|\mathbf{b}\|}{|d|-2}$.
Recall that $|b|<1$, so the bound of $\|B x-b\|$ is decayed exponentially in terms of $b$. For example, if we hope $\|B \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|<\xi\|\mathbf{b}\|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(\xi) \geq \frac{\log (|d|-2)+\log \xi}{\log |b|}-1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smallest $t(\xi)$ of (8) will be small enough for some relative tolerance $\xi$ and sufficiently large diagonal dominance ratio $|d|$. Using (8), Table 1 illustrates some relations between $|d|$ 's and the smallest $t$ 's for some $\xi$. Note that the tolerance $\xi$ cannot be achieved when $t(\xi)>n$, and hence the algorithm will break down when the required relative tolerance is sufficiently small and/or the diagonal dominance ratio is sufficiently close to 2 .

Table 1

| $\|d\|$ | $t\left(10^{-2}\right)$ | $t\left(10^{-4}\right)$ | $t\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $t\left(10^{-8}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.001 | 364 | 509 | 655 | 800 |
| 2.01 | 92 | 138 | 184 | 230 |
| 2.05 | 34 | 54 | 75 | 95 |
| 2.1 | 21 | 36 | 51 | 65 |
| 2.5 | 7 | 14 | 20 | 27 |
| 4 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 13 |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 |
| 8 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |

In summary, based on our three-phase algorithm described in this section, solving the symmetric Toeplitz tridiagonal system $B \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ takes about $5 n+2 t$. For some relative tolerance and highly diagonal dominance ratio, we have that $t$ is $O(1)$. That is, it takes about $5 n$ to solve $B \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ for this case. The number of floating point operations required in our algorithm is the same as the previous fastest ones such as the special $L U$ factorization and reversed triangular factorization [2].

## 3. Symmetric Circulant Tridiagonal Systems

We now consider the symmetric circulant tridiagonal system $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\beta & \gamma & & & & \gamma  \tag{9}\\
\gamma & \beta & \gamma & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & & \gamma & \beta & \gamma \\
\gamma & & & & \gamma & \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

By (2), $d=\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$, and $a-b=d$, we have

$$
A=\gamma B^{\prime}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\gamma b & \gamma  \tag{10}\\
&
\end{array}\right]
$$

To solve $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, we first solve $B^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbf{b}\left(=\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)$ as described in Section 2. From
(10) we obtain (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A \mathbf{x}^{\prime} & =\gamma B^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}+\gamma\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-b x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\gamma x_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{n} \\
& =\mathbf{b}+\gamma\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-b x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\gamma x_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{n} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

From $b^{2}+d b+1=0$ (derived in Section 2), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\gamma} A \mathbf{p} & =(\underbrace{d b+b^{2}, b+d b^{2}+b^{3}, \ldots, b^{t-1}+d b^{t}}_{t}, \underbrace{b^{t}, 0, \ldots, 0, b}_{n^{t}})^{T} \\
& =(\underbrace{d b+b^{2}, 0, \ldots, 0, b^{t-1}+d b^{t}}_{t}, \underbrace{b^{t}, 0, \ldots, 0, b}_{n^{t}})^{T} \\
& =-\mathbf{e}_{1}-b^{t+1} \mathbf{e}_{t}+b^{t} \mathbf{e}_{t+1}+b \mathbf{e}_{n} \\
& =\left(-\mathbf{e}_{1}+b \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)+b^{t}\left(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}-b \mathbf{e}_{t}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

With respect to the reverse form of $\mathbf{p}$, naturally, we let $\mathbf{q}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-t}, \underbrace{b^{t}, \ldots, b^{2}, b^{1}})^{T}$, from which

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\gamma} \boldsymbol{A} \mathbf{q} & =\left(-\mathbf{e}_{n}+b \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+b^{t}\left(\mathbf{e}_{n-t}-b \mathbf{e}_{n-t+1}\right) \\
& =\left(b \mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{n}\right)+b^{t}\left(\mathbf{e}_{n-t}-b \mathbf{e}_{n-t+1}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{u}=\frac{1}{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}+b \mathbf{q})$ and $\mathbf{v}=\frac{1}{\gamma}(b \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q})$. By (12) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \mathbf{u}=\left(b^{2}-1\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+b^{t}\left(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}-b \mathbf{e}_{t}+b \mathbf{e}_{n-t}-b^{2} \mathbf{e}_{n-t+1}\right) \\
& A \mathbf{v}=\left(b^{2}-1\right) \mathbf{e}_{n}+b^{t}\left(b \mathbf{e}_{t+1}-b^{2} \mathbf{e}_{t}+\mathbf{e}_{n-t}-b \mathbf{e}_{n-t+1}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\frac{\gamma}{b^{2}-1}\left[\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-b x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{u}+x_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right], \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (15), (11), and (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}= & -\frac{\gamma b^{t}}{b^{2}-1}\left[x_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{r+1}-b x_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{t}+\left(b x_{n}^{\prime}+\left(1-b^{2}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{n-t}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(b^{2} x_{n}^{\prime}+\left(b-b^{3}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{n-t+1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $\{t+1, t\} \cap\{n-t, n-t+1\}=\varnothing$. Since $|b|<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\| & =\left|\frac{\gamma b^{t}}{b^{2}-1}\right| \max \left(\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|,\left|b x_{n}^{\prime}+\left(1-b^{2}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \leq\left|\frac{\gamma b^{t}}{b^{2}-1}\right|\left(|b|+\left|1-b^{2}\right|\right)\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same arguments as Lemma 1, we also have $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{|d|-2}\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\| & \leq\left|\frac{\gamma b^{t}}{b^{2}-1}\right|\left(|b|+\left|1-b^{2}\right|\right) \frac{\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|}{|d|-2} \\
& =\frac{\left(|b|+\left|1-b^{2}\right|\right)\left|b^{t}\right|}{\left|b^{2}-1\right|(|d|-2)}\|\mathbf{b}\| \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

So, the bound of $\|A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|$ is decayed exponentially in terms of $b$. For example, if we require $\|A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|<\xi\|\mathbf{b}\|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(\xi) \geq \frac{\log (|d|-2)+\log \left|b^{2}-1\right|-\log \left(|b|+\left|1-b^{2}\right|\right)+\log \xi}{\log |b|}-1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2 illustrates some relations between $|d|$ 's and the smallest $t$ 's for some $\xi$. Note that the tolerance $\xi$ cannot be achieved when $t(\xi)>n$, and hence the algorithm will break down when the required relative tolerance is sufficiently small and/or the diagonal dominance ratio is sufficiently close to 2 .

Table 2

| $\|d\|$ | $t\left(10^{-2}\right)$ | $t\left(10^{-4}\right)$ | $t\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $t\left(10^{-8}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.001 | 454 | 599 | 745 | 891 |
| 2.01 | 111 | 157 | 203 | 249 |
| 2.05 | 40 | 60 | 81 | 102 |
| 2.1 | 25 | 40 | 55 | 69 |
| 2.5 | 9 | 16 | 22 | 29 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 14 |
| 6 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| 8 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 |

By (15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x} & =\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\frac{\gamma}{b^{2}-1}\left(\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-b x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{u}+x_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{b^{2}-1}\left(\left(x_{n}^{\prime}-b x_{1}^{\prime}\right)(\mathbf{p}+b \mathbf{q})+x_{1}^{\prime}(b \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q})\right) \\
& =\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{b^{2}-1}\left(x_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{p}+\left(b x_{n}^{\prime}+\left(1-b^{2}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{q}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\frac{x_{n}^{\prime}}{b^{2}-1} \mathbf{p}+\left(x_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{b x_{1}^{\prime}}{b^{2}-1}\right) \mathbf{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to verify that the number of floating-point operations required in the above update procedure is about $4 t$. In summary, based on our three-phase algorithm, solving the symmetric circulant Toeplitz tridiagonal system $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ takes about $5 n+4 t$. For some relative tolerance and highly diagonal dominance ratio, we have
that $t$ is $O(1)$ too. It follows that the number of floating-point operations needed in our algorithm can complete with the previous fastest ones [2]. Following the derivations of our three-phase algorithm, the special near-Toeplitz tridiagonal system $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ can be solved in a similar way, where

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\alpha & \gamma & & & & \gamma \\
\gamma & \beta & \gamma & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & & \gamma & \beta & \gamma \\
\gamma & & & & \gamma & \alpha^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $C$ is diagonally dominant.
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