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#### Abstract

A simple vectorized method for calculating a moving sum is developed. Our proposed method is suitable for register-to-register vector computers and entails much less redundant floating-point operations than the vectorized algorithm of Mossberg [3]. We demonstrate the performance of our vectorized algorithm on the CRAY X-MP EA/116se supercomputer.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Among many methods used for smoothing and normalization of seismic traces, the automatic gain control (AGC) method is the best known. Commonly, more than $10-20 \%$ of the total time used for a seismic processing sequence is spent in an AGC subroutine. An essential part of the computations involved in the AGC method is the calculation of a moving sum. Given an input vector $A=\left(a_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and a window of length $w(\leqslant n)$, a moving sum calculation generates an output vector $B=\left(b_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{w} a_{j-t+1}\left(a_{i}=0 \text { outside } 1 \leq i \leq n\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing the summation operator with an absolute-value summation operator, the moving sum of absolute values can be obtained directly. Although in [3], the window of odd length $w$ used to compute the $j$ th output value is centered around the $j$ th element of the input vector, by adjusting the initial index, the computation of (1) can be obtained exactly the same computation as in [3].

On a vector machine, the output vector $B$ as defined by (1) can be straightforwardly computed using the sequential method called the scalar algorithm (SA) [3]. The number of floating-point (FP) operations required in SA is about $2 n$ but the $S A$ is not a vectorization approach. Mossberg [3] first presented a vectorized moving sum algorithm for the CYBER 205 memory-to-memory supercomputer in which the floating-point functional units can communicate directly with main memory to receive and transfer data. Mossberg's vectorized algorithm can be accomplished by means of $s+t$ vector operations, and each needs operands of vector length $n$, where $2^{s}<w<2^{s+1}$ and $t$ is defined as the number of 1 s in the binary representation of $w$. Totally, the number of FP operations required is $(s+t) n$ which ranges from $(\lceil\log w\rceil+1) n$ to $(2\lceil\log w\rceil-1) n$, where the logarithm is base two and $\rceil$ denotes the ceiling function. Since the concerning vector is of length $n$ and with stride 1 , Mossberg's algorithm is particular for the memory-to-memory supercomputer [2]. Nowadays, except for the CYBER 205 and ETA 10 all other vector computers are register-to-register machines such as the CRAY series, Fujitsu VP series, Hitachi S series, and NEC SX series [1].

The purpose of this paper is the design of a new vectorized moving sum algorithm for the register-to-register vector computers. The

[^0]number of FP operations required in our proposed method is shown to be $(2+(w-4) / k) n$, which ranges from $2 n$ to $2.5 n$, where the value of $k(\geq 2 w)$ is determined according to the partition of the array. We show that the ratio of the number of FP operations required in our algorithm over Mossberg's algorithm ranges from $(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g } w} \bar{\chi}+1) / 2.5$ to $\lceil\log w\rceil-1 / 2$. For the case $w>4$, our method entails much less redundancy than the vectorized algorithm of Mossberg [3]. To alleviate the memory-bank conflicts, the value of stride in our program can be selected as an odd number since the number of memory banks is even in CRAY X-MP EA/116se. We demonstrate the performance of our vectorized algorithm on this supercomputer.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the proposed vectorized algorithm for computing a moving sum. It also provides the complexity analysis. In Section III, we present some experimental results that examine the performance of our method. Section IV concludes the paper.

## II. A Vectorized Moving Sum Algorithm

Recall that the input vector is $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and the output vector is $B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$. First we partition $A$ into $p q$ groups of $k$ elements. ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ ) ( $k \geq 2 w$ ) constitutes the first group, $\left(a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \ldots\right.$, $a_{2 k}$ ) constitutes the second group, and so on. If $k p q(=m)>n$, then $a_{i}$ for $i>n$ is assigned to zero. We partition $B$ in the same way. For convenience, we assume that $k p q=n$. In order to reveal the new structure of $A$ and $B$, let us rearrange $A$ and $B$ into two $q \times k$ block matrices by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{k} \\
a_{k+1} & a_{k+2} & \ldots & a_{2 k} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
a_{n-k+1} & a_{n-k+2} & \ldots & a_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & \ldots & A_{1, k} \\
A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & \ldots & A_{2, k} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
A_{q, 1} & A_{q, 2} & \ldots & A_{q, k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{k} \\
b_{k+1} & b_{k+2} & \ldots & b_{2 k} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
b_{n-k+1} & b_{n-k+2} & \ldots & b_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{1,1} & B_{1.2} & \ldots & B_{1, k} \\
B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} & \ldots & B_{2, k} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
B_{q, 1} & B_{q, 2} & \ldots & B_{q, k}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $A_{i, j}$ and $B_{i, j}, 1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, are $p \times 1$ matrices, i.e., $A_{i j}$ $=\left(a_{(i-1) p k+j}, a_{(i-1) p k+j+k}, \ldots, a_{(i-1) p k+j+(p-1) k}\right)^{t}$ and $B_{i, j}=\left(b_{(i-1) p k+j}, b_{(i-1) p k+j+k}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, b_{(i-1) p k+j+(p-1) k}\right)^{t}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq q$, by (1), it follows that

$$
B_{i, j}=\sum_{t=1}^{w} A_{i, j-t+1} \text { for } w \leq j \leq k
$$

and

$$
B_{i, j}=\sum_{t=1}^{j} A_{i, t}+\sum_{t=k-(w-j)+1}^{k} \bar{A}_{i, t} \text { for } 1 \leq j<w
$$

where $\bar{A}_{i, j}=\left(a_{(i-1) p k+j-k}, a_{(i-1) p k+j}, \ldots, a_{(i-1) p k+j+(p-2) k}\right)^{t}$. Notice that $a_{i}=0$ outside $1 \leq i \leq n$ (see (1)).

Given a set of inputs $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and a summation operator + , find all partial sums

$$
x_{1}, x_{1}+x_{2}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

This problem is known as the prefix sum problem. Similarly, find all partial sums:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n-1}+x_{n}, x_{n}
$$

This problem is known as the suffix sum problem.
Based on some prefix-sum and suffix-sum operations in vectorized ways, our vectorized moving sum algorithm works as follows. Consider the first band of $A$

$$
A_{1,1}, A_{1,2}, \ldots, A_{1, k}
$$

First, we compute the following suffix-sum computations by means of $(w-2)$ vector summations:
$C_{1} \leftarrow \sum_{i=k-w+2}^{k} \bar{A}_{1, i}, C_{2} \leftarrow \sum_{i=k-w+3}^{k} \bar{A}_{1, i}, \ldots, C_{w-2} \leftarrow \bar{A}_{1, k-1}+\bar{A}_{1, k}, C_{w-1} \leftarrow \bar{A}_{1, k}$, where the symbol ' $\leftarrow$ ' denotes an assignment operator. Equivalently, the above suffix-computations can be performed by the following vectorized process, where each vector summation takes operands of vector length $p$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{w-1} & \leftarrow \bar{A}_{1, k} \\
\text { For } i & =w-2 \text { downto } 1 \text { do } \\
C_{i} & \leftarrow C_{i+1}+\bar{A}_{1, k-w+i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the vectors $A_{1.1}, A_{1.2}, \ldots, A_{1, k}$, we compute the prefix sum by means of $(k-1)$ vector summations, and each needs operands of vector length $p$. We then obtain all partial-sum vectors

$$
D_{1} \leftarrow A_{1,1}, D_{2} \leftarrow A_{1,1}+A_{1,2}, \ldots, D_{k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{1, i}
$$

Thereafter, for $i$ from $k$ down to $(w+1)$, we do $D_{i} \leftarrow D_{i}-D_{i-w}$. It takes $(k-w)$ vector subtractions, and each also needs operands of vector length $p$. For $i$ from 1 to $(w-1)$, we do $D_{w-i} \leftarrow D_{w-i}+C_{w-i}$. Then, the moving sum of the first band of $A$ is flowed from $D_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. That is, the values of $b_{j}$ of (1) for $1 \leq j \leq k p$ have been determined. If $q=1$ then we stop the algorithm; otherwise the following do-loop is performed.

## For $j=2$ to $q$ do

Step_1. Compute the suffix sum for the vectors

$$
\bar{A}_{j, k-w+2}, \bar{A}_{j, k-w+3}, \ldots, \bar{A}_{j, k}
$$

We then obtain all partial-sum vectors
$C_{1} \leftarrow \sum_{i=k-w+2}^{k} \bar{A}_{j, i}, C_{2} \leftarrow \sum_{i=k-w+3}^{k} \bar{A}_{j, i}, \ldots, C_{w-2} \leftarrow \bar{A}_{j, k-1}+\bar{A}_{j, k}, C_{w-1} \leftarrow \bar{A}_{j, k}$.
Step_2. Compute the prefix sum for the vectors $A_{j, 1}, A_{j, 2}, \ldots, A_{j, k}$. Thus, we obtain all partial-sum vectors

$$
D_{1} \leftarrow A_{j, 1}, D_{2} \leftarrow A_{j, 1}+A_{j, 2}, \ldots, D_{k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{j, i}
$$

Step_3. For $i$ from $k$ down to $w+1$, we perform $D_{i} \leftarrow D_{i}-D_{i-w}$.
Step_4. Perform the additions: $D_{w-i} \leftarrow D_{w-i}+C_{w-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq w-1$.
The moving sum is flowed from $D_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

## Enddo

After completing the above vectorized algorithm for calculating a moving sum of $A$, the total number of FP operations needed in the suffix-sum computations of Step_1 is $p q(w-2)$; the number of FP operations needed in the prefix-sum computations of Step_2 is $p q(k-1)$; the number of FP operations needed in Step_3 is $p q(k-w)$; the number of FP operations needed in Step_4 is $p q(w-1)$. So it takes $(2+(w-4) / k) n(=p q w-4 p q+2 p q k)$ FP operations to finish computing a moving sum of $A$. The number of FP operations used in our algorithm ranges from $2 n$ to $2.5 n$, while the number of FP operations used
in Mossberg's method ranges from $(\sqrt{\log } w\rceil+1) n$ to $(2 \sqrt{\log w\rceil-1) n \text {. For }}$ the case $w>4$, our method entails much less redundant FP operations than the vectorized algorithm of Mossberg [3]. For example, if $w=65$ and $k=10 w$, our method needs about $2.1 n$ FP operations, but Mossberg's method needs FP operations ranging from $8 n$ to $15 n$. The approach of partitioning into blocks makes our vectorized method suitable for either the vector computer with multiple vector elements or for on-line reading of the input vector.

## III. IMPLEMENTATIONS ON CRAY X-MP EA/116SE

In this section, we implement our vectorized moving sum algorithm on the Cray X-MP EA/116se supercomputer. Before illustrating the corresponding experimental results, let us introduce some features of this machine. This machine has a register-to-register architecture without cache memory and has one vector processor which contains eight 64 -bit vector registers of length 64 . Memory is divided into 16 banks and each bank contains 1 M 64 -bit words.

The input vector $A$ is generated by a random number generator, a function call ranf(). The length of the vector $A$ is specified to be $10,000,20,000,30,000, \ldots$, and 90,000 , respectively. The Cray Fortran 77 source code of our vectorized algorithm called movesum1 is listed in the Appendix. Table I shows the performance of running our vectorized algorithm. The operating system used here is UNICOS 6.1.6 and the compiler is called CF77.

TABLE I
Cray X-MP EA/116se ExECUTION Times for Our Algorithm

| $n$ | $w$ | time | $m$ | $k$ | $q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,000 | 11 | $0.483 m i$ | 10,176 | 53 | 3 |
| 20,000 | 11 | $0.960 m i$ | 20,352 | 53 | 6 |
| 30,000 | 21 | $1.451 m i$ | 30,400 | 95 | 5 |
| 40,000 | 21 | $1.941 m i$ | 40,768 | 91 | 7 |
| 50,000 | 31 | $2.482 m i$ | 50,304 | 131 | 6 |
| 60,000 | 31 | $2.849 m i$ | 60,480 | 135 | 7 |
| 70,000 | 41 | $3.365 m i$ | 70,272 | 183 | 6 |
| 80,000 | 41 | $3.852 m i$ | 80,192 | 179 | 7 |
| 90,000 | 51 | $4.223 m i$ | 90,240 | 235 | 6 |

In Table I, the symbols $n, w, q$, and $m i$ denote the size of $A$, the size of the window, the number of the bands in $A$, and millisecond, respectively. $m$ and $k$ have been defined in Section II. To alleviate the memory-bank conflicts, the value of $k$ is selected as an odd number and is greater than $4 w$.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the design of a new vectorized moving sum algorithm for register-to-register vector computers. Our algorithm is not only more efficient than Mossberg's, but also based on a simpler idea which could be applied to pattern matching problems. Some experimental results for our method have been obtained on the CRAY X-MP EA/116se supercomputer. In addition, due to the approach of partitioning into blocks, our vectorized algorithm is suitable for either the vector computer with multiple vector elements or for on-line reading of the input vector.

## APPENDIX

[^1]```
c--bbb: save the moving-sum vector of bb by
    brute force method -
C--c: temporary array for boundary processing-
    real b(100000), bb(100000), bbb(100000).
        c(100000), sum
    real starttime,totaltime
C--w: window size; n: length of seismic vector--
    integer i,j,k,n,m,p,ww,w
    integer q, nt,kk,l
c--array wt is used for boundary processing be-
    tween two consecutive bands-
    real wt(1000)
    write(*,*) 'INPUT N:'
    read(*,*) n
    write(*,*) 'INPUT w:'
    read(*,*) w
c--the vector length is 64--
    p=64
C--generate random seismic vector-
        do 5 i=1,n
            b(i)=range*ranf()
            bb(i)=b(i)
5 continue
C--start timing-
    starttime=SECOND()
    k=4**+1
    kk=k*p
C--q=ceiling function of (n/kk)--
    q=(n+kk-1)/kk
    if (q.gt.1) then
        q=q-1
        k=(n+64*q-1)/(64*q)
        k=2*(k/2)+1
        kk=k*p
    endif
    m=kk*q
    ww=((w+1)/2)*2-1
    do 8 i=n+1,m
        b(i)=0.0
    continue
        wt (1:w-1)=0.0
    nt=0
    do 200 l=1,q
c--calculate array c-
    do 10 i=1,p
        c((i-1)*ww+w-1)=b(i*k+nt)
    10 continue
        do 20 j=w-2,1,-1
    cdir $ ivdep
        do 30 i=1,p
        c((i-1)*ww+j)=c((i-1)*ww+j+1)+b(i*k+j+nt-
        w+1)
    30 continue
20 continue
C--prefix sum for one band-
        do 40 j=2,k
cdir $ ivdep
        do 50 i=1,p
        b((i-1)*k+j+nt)=b((i-1)*k+j+nt)+b((i-1)*k+j-
        1+nt)
50 continue
40 continue
c--calculate partial moving sums-
    do 60 j=k,w+1,-1
cdir $ ivdep
        do 70 i=1,p
            b}((i-1)*k+nt+j)=b((i-1)*k+nt+j)-b((i-
                1) *k+nt+j-w)
70 continue
6 0 ~ c o n t i n u e ~
c--boundary processing for (p-1) pair of con-
    secutive rows-
    do }71\textrm{j}=1\mathrm{ ,w-1
        b(j+nt)=b(j+nt)+wt(j)
    7 1 \text { continue}
        do }80 j=1,w-
    cdir $ ivdep
```

```
        do }90\quadi=2,
        b((i-1)*k+nt+j)=b((i-1)*k+nt+j)+c((i-
        2) *ww+j)
90 continue
80 continue
    do 72 j=1,w-1
        wt (j)=c((p-1)*ww+j)
7 2 \text { continue}
    nt=nt+kk
200 continue
C--end of timing-
    totaltime=SECOND()-starttime
    print *, 'OUTPUT FOR MOVSUM1.F'
    print *,'n=,'n,' m=,'m
    print *,'CPU TIME FOR OURS=,'totaltime
    print *','w=,' w,' k=,''k,' q=,''q
C--calculate moving sums by brute force method-
C--which takes (w-1)n FP operations and is used
    to verify the result-
    bbb(1)=bb(1)
    do }100i=2,
        bbb(i) =bbb(i-1)+bb(i)
100 continue
    do }110\quadi=w+1,
        sum=0.0
        do }120\textrm{j}=\mathbf{i}-w+1,
            sum=sum+bb(j)
120 continue
        bbb(i)=sum
110 continue
C--calculate the difference between our method
    and the brute force method-
C--by sup-norm measurement--
    sum=0.0
    do }130\quadi=1,
        if (sum.lt.abs(bbb(i)-b(i))) then
            sum=abs(bbb(i)-b(i))
        endif
130 continue
    write(*,*) 'The difference is ,' sum
    end
```
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[^1]:    C--Our vectorized algorithm for moving sum-Program movesum1
    c--b:initially save seismic vector; eventually save the moving-sum vector-
    $\mathrm{c}--\mathrm{bb}$ : save one copy of seismic vector used for the brute force method -

